

turnitin unesa1

75_production

 Pse Eneng

Document Details

Submission ID**trn:oid:::3618:108709874****Submission Date****Aug 18, 2025, 10:03 AM GMT+7****Download Date****Aug 18, 2025, 10:04 AM GMT+7****File Name****75_production.pdf****File Size****149.7 KB****1 Page****547 Words****3,546 Characters**





3% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.




Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography

Match Groups

-  **2** Not Cited or Quoted 3%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0** Missing Quotations 0%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 3%  Internet sources
- 0%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 2** Not Cited or Quoted 3%
 Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 0** Missing Quotations 0%
 Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%
 Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%
 Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 3% Internet sources
- 0% Publications
- 0% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

- 1 Internet

doaj.org 1%
- 2 Internet

www.iiste.org 1%



Assessing the Equity of Educational Access in the Prabowo Administration Era: Policy Analysis and Alternative Solutions Toward Inclusive Education in Indonesia

Dwi Pangga^{1*}, Ketut Suma², I Nyoman Jampel², Made Candiasa², Muhammad Rey Dafa Ahmadi³

¹Mandalika University of Education, Mataram, Indonesia

²Ganesha University of Education, Singaraja, Indonesia

³Glasgow University, Scotland, United Kingdom



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.63230/jitse.1.2.75>

Sections Info

Article history:

Submitted: August 9, 2025

Final Revised: August 18, 2025

Accepted: August 18, 2025

Published: August 19, 2025

Keywords:

Affirmative Policy;

Education Equity;

Educational Technology;

Human Rights-Based

Approach;

Inclusive Education.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Equitable access to education is a fundamental prerequisite for human capital development and social justice in Indonesia. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of education equity policies under the administration of President Prabowo Subianto, focusing on programs such as Sekolah Rakyat, the Indonesia Smart Program (PIP), and the Frontline Teacher and School Initiatives (GGD/SGD). **Method:** Employing a qualitative approach through library research, the study systematically reviews secondary data from scholarly literature published in the last five years, government policy documents, and reports from national and international institutions, including UNICEF and UNESCO. The analysis was conducted through thematic coding, synthesis of findings, and evaluative assessment using an input-output-outcome framework. **Results:** The findings reveal that affirmative programs have significantly expanded access to education, particularly in frontier, outermost, and underdeveloped (3T) regions, as well as for marginalized groups. However, persistent challenges remain in the uneven distribution and quality of teachers, inadequate physical and digital infrastructure, limited digital literacy, and policy approaches that are still largely top-down and insufficiently responsive to local contexts. These issues hinder the achievement of truly inclusive and high-quality education. **Novelty:** The key contribution of this study lies in its integrative perspective that combines structural (infrastructure), technological (digital platforms), human resource (teachers), and normative (human rights-based) dimensions. By advancing adaptive, evidence-based, and participatory strategies, this study provides a comprehensive framework for reshaping education policy in Indonesia toward greater inclusivity and equity.

INTRODUCTION

Education is a fundamental pillar of national development and is enshrined as a right of every citizen in Article 31 of the 1945 Constitution. Equal access to education is the foundation for achieving social justice, improving the quality of human resources, and ensuring sustainable development. In the era of globalisation and digitalisation, an inclusive education system that encompasses all segments of society, including children with special needs and populations in remote areas, has become increasingly important (Jakulin & Josephine, 2023; Júnior et al., 2024). Awareness of the importance of inclusive education indicates that the role of the state is not limited to providing basic services, but also ensuring that access is equitable and relevant to technological developments and the diverse needs of society. Although Indonesia has implemented various policies such as the Indonesia Pintar (PIP) programme, Sekolah Rakyat (People's Schools), and the Guru/Sekolah Garis Depan (Frontline Teachers/Schools) initiative to expand educational services in 3T (frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged) areas, various evaluations indicate that structural challenges remain dominant. Infrastructure